# **Birdville Independent School District**

**Green Valley Elementary** 

2023-2024 Improvement Plan



# **Value Statement**

Growth mindset

Accepting

Teamwork

Open-minded

Respectful

Scholars

# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	1
•	7
Demographics	4
Student Learning	5
School Processes & Programs	9
Perceptions	11
Priority Problem Statements	12
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	13
Goals	15
Goal 1: PK-5 Scholars will achieve their full potential through a system that is responsive to their academic, social and emotional needs.	16
Goal 2: Our campus system will utilize efficient and effective operations to support and improve learning for scholars and staff in order to expand their impact across the campus and throughout the community.	23
Goal 3: All scholars and staff will learn and work in a safe and responsive environment.	26
State Compensatory	29
Budget for Green Valley Elementary	30
Personnel for Green Valley Elementary	30
Campus Funding Summary	30

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

## **Demographics**

### **Demographics Summary**

439 total students

Male: 51.12%

Female: 48.88%

White: 68.33%

Hispanic/Latino: 17.38%

Black/African American: 3.1%

Asian: 4.29%

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.48%

Two or More: 6.43%

The English Language Proficiency Status target measure set by the state for the TELPAS progress measure is 36%. In 2020-2021 Green Valley Elementary School met the target with a TELPAS progress rate of 67%. In 2021-2022 Green Valley Elementary School again met the target with a TELPAS progress rate of 57%. In comparing the progress rate from 2021 and 2022, Green Valley Elementary School demonstrated a 10% point decrease in students' English language development.

At Risk: 19.05%

Economically Disadvantaged: 17.86%

Free Lunch & Reduced Lunch: 17.86%

Limited English Proficient: 2.9%

Mobility Rate: 5.3 % -- \_\_\_\_\_

Dyslexic: 6.3%

Gifted and Talented: 13.8%

Special Education: 10.49%

Green Valley Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com Average Daily Attendance: 97.7% (Student Services report)

Demographics change of note: The number of at risk students has increased from 19% to 40% from 2021-2023.

### **Demographics Strengths**

Green Valley Elementary has a low percentage of economically disadvantaged students.

Demographics are remaining consistent without a lot of change outside of percentage of at-risk.

### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** There continues to be concern for scholars within specific student groups (Hispanic, African American, SpEd, and At-risk) not closing the achievement gap with their peers outside of these particular groups. **Root Cause:** Lack of targeted instruction based on individual student data including tier 1 instruction and intervention.

# **Student Learning**

### **Student Learning Summary**

Historical STAAR Data by subject and Grade Level:

3rd Math:

Year	Appr	Meet	Mast
2019	95	77	48
2021	88	60	37
2022	82	62	38
2023	91	69	31

4th Math:

Year	Appr	Meet	Mast
2019	90	68	44
2021	93	78	59
2022	88	68	43
2023	85	62	29

5th Math:

Year	Appr	Meet	Mast
2019	100	86	71

Year	Appr	Meet	Mast
2021	98	88	67
2022	99	85	53
2023	93	67	36

### 3rd RLA:

Year	Appr	Meet	Mast
2019	92	72	61
2021	94	77	53
2022	89	72	51
2023	91	73	43

### 4th RLA:

Year	Appr	Meet	Mast
2019	92	75	44
2021	96	75	54
2022	94	79	56
2023	97	76	39

5th RLA:

Year	Appr	Meet	Mast
2019	98	73	39
2021	95	77	64
2022	96	82	69
2023	94	82	62

### 5th Science:

Year	Appr	Meet	Mast
2019	90	70	35
2021	91	69	34
2022	97	79	32
2023	81	59	37

Historical Data - Pre-K-2 Percentage of Students on Level at End of Year based on BAS assessment.

Grade	On level at EOY 2021	On level at EOY 2022	On level at EOY 2023
PK	_	Rapid letter naming Rapid Vocab	91%/95%

Grade	On level at EOY 2021	On level at EOY 2022	On level at EOY 2023
K	73%	75%	72%
1	58%	64%	56%
2	64%	59%	63%

### **Student Learning Strengths**

I high percentage of scholars are reaching the approaches level on STAAR math and reading.

### **Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Green Valley failed to increase STAAR performance in 3rd-grade reading (masters), 3rd grade math (masters), 4th-grade math (approaches, meets, & masters), 4th-grade reading (meets and masters), 5th grade reading (approaches and masters) and 5th-grade math (approaches, meets & masters), and 5th-grade science (approached and meets). **Root Cause:** Lack of targeted instruction based on individual student data, and lack of vertical alignment.

## **School Processes & Programs**

### **School Processes & Programs Summary**

Science	Mathematics	ELA & Reading	Social Studies
5 E Model (K-5)	Workshop Model	Workshop Model	I-SPY Cards
Use Stemscopes, Edusmart &	Differentiated to incorporate strategies that promote rigor, relevance, and engagement	Differentiated to incorporate strategies that promote rigor, relevance, and engagement	Integrated with Reading & Writing
		Fountas & Pinnell Materials, Resources, Assessment (Gr. K-5)	
Mentoring Minds -THINK UP Resources for Grades 3-5	UPS Check Strategy		
for Grades 5- 3		Patterns of Power (K-5)	
		Balanced Literacy approach to instruction	
DATA FOLDERS	Mentoring Minds THINK UP for Grades 3-5		DATA FOLDERS /PDSA
PDSA			
	DATA FOLDERS /PDSA	DATA FOLDERS / PDSA	Flocabulary Resources - Gr. 5 US History
	SMART (Scholars Moving Around Teachers) Time - Intervention	SMART Time - Intervention	
Hands-On Real World Learning Experiences			
	Hands-On Real World Learning Experiences		

### **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

Campus has access to comprehensive curriculum documents and resources through Birdville ISD. Teachers have access to a plethora of resources to support student learning.

### **Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Need to strengthen continuous improvement processes within Professional Learning Communities, and strengthen process for analyzing student data and respond to student needs. **Root Cause:** Campus administration turnover over the last 4 years has affected building capacity in this area.

## **Perceptions**

### **Perceptions Summary**

Data based on district safety survey in Spring of 2023:

Student survey shows 90% of students have a trusted adult on campus. 81 percent of students reported feeling safe on campus. Only 61 percent of students recognized a positive behavior system on campus, and only 51% reported receiving training on social skills, conflict resolution, and other social emotional related skills.

Parent safety survey revealed 93 percent of parents felt their student had a trusted adult at school and 90 percent consider the campus safe and orderly. 68 percent reported knowledge of a positive behavior intervention system, and only 38 percent agreed that students receive training on social skills and other related topics.

Staff survey revealed 70 percent of staff felt capable of implementing the campus positive intervention system. 76 percent of staff reported that student receive training on social skills and related skills. 89 percent of staff consider the campus safe and orderly.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

Selfie traits, Character Strong SEL lessons, Daily Pledge focused upon kindness toward others are in place and woven throughout the fabric of the campus.

### **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

# **Priority Problem Statements**

**Problem Statement 1**: There continues to be concern for scholars within specific student groups (Hispanic, African American, SpEd, and At-risk) not closing the achievement gap with their peers outside of these particular groups.

Root Cause 1: Lack of targeted instruction based on individual student data including tier 1 instruction and intervention.

**Problem Statement 1 Areas**: Demographics

**Problem Statement 2**: Green Valley failed to increase STAAR performance in 3rd-grade reading (masters), 3rd grade math (masters), 4th-grade math (approaches, meets, & masters), 4th-grade reading (meets and masters), 5th grade reading (approaches and masters) and 5th-grade math (approaches, meets & masters), and 5th-grade science (approached and meets).

Root Cause 2: Lack of targeted instruction based on individual student data, and lack of vertical alignment.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

**Problem Statement 3**: Need to strengthen continuous improvement processes within Professional Learning Communities, and strengthen process for analyzing student data and respond to student needs.

Root Cause 3: Campus administration turnover over the last 4 years has affected building capacity in this area.

**Problem Statement 3 Areas**: School Processes & Programs

**Problem Statement 4**: Stakeholder feedback indicates an opportunity to grow in our alignment of our values and our actions.

Root Cause 4: Lack of focus on Social Emotional Needs

**Problem Statement 4 Areas**: Perceptions

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

District goals

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Accountability Distinction Designations

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- · Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) reading assessment data for Grades PK-2
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- State-developed online interim assessments
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- · Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- · School safety data

### **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback

- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data

### Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedbackCommunity surveys and/or other feedback

### **Support Systems and Other Data**

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Study of best practices
- Other additional data

# Goals

Goal 1: PK-5 Scholars will achieve their full potential through a system that is responsive to their academic, social and emotional needs.

Performance Objective 1: Every scholar will make at least one year's progress in reading and math between the beginning and end of year.

#### **HB3 Goal**

Evaluation Data Sources: Scholar reading levels measured by district screeners and STAAR testing, and district math screeners including star Renaissance and mClass data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews									
Strategy 1: Provide quality Tier 1 instruction for every scholar by maximizing district resources and implementing Tier 1	Formative			Formative			Formative			Summative
priorities in Pre-k through 5th grade.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June						
<b>Actions:</b> -Utilize grade level PLCs in collaboration with academic coach and Digital Learning Specialist to implement tier 1 priorities.										
-Train staff during professional learning sessions after each district LOL (leaders of learners) meeting.										
-Utilize campus resources including coaches to provide support for campus and classroom implementation plans.										
-Utilize RLA and math vertical teams to increase collaboration system-wide on tier 1 priorities and best practices in the classroom.										
-Provide additional resources such as ThinkUp mentoring minds to support Tier 1 instruction and continued growth for all students including high-achieving students.										
-Utilize SCE funded prekindergarten teachers to provide a foundation to our youngest students based upon academic, social, and emotional needs.										
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration										
Instructional Staff										
Professional Learning Communities - Horizontal by Grade Level & K-5 Vertical Teams										
Problem Statements: Demographics 1										
<b>Funding Sources:</b> Mentoring Minds THINK UP Series for grades 3-5 (PTA Funds \$3000) - 199 - General Funds: SCE - \$6,700, Intervention Personnel - 199 - General Funds: SCE										

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Align processes that encourage and facilitate personalized learning for scholars in reading and math in order to		Formative		Summative
increase overall achievement and close achievement gaps in core content areas.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Actions: -Utilize Continuous improvement processes to strengthen instruction and assist teachers in supporting learning for all scholarsImplement MTSS (Multi-Tiered System of Supports) processes to ensure alignment with district protocol and make adjustments as neededUtilize district resources with tier 1, 2, and 3 scholars during intervention and small group instructionBuild capacity in instructional areas through regular professional learning and professional learning communities to ensure student learning needs are metProvide enrichment for tier 1 groups based on individual data.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Instructional Staff Professional Learning Communities - Horizontal by Grade Level and Vertical K-5  Problem Statements: Student Learning 1	1101	- Juni	NAME	Vanc
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
<b>Strategy 3:</b> Provide and monitor a comprehensive professional learning plan to address the needs of staff members.		Formative		Summative
Actions: -Identify needs based on goals of each individual teacher - TTESS	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
-Implement framework that facilitates relevan professional learning for staff.	1101	oun -	17141	June
-Allocate resources to support professional learning plan. (including content based professional learning and				
Professional Conferences addressing Social and Emotional Needs of Scholars)				
-Utilize district-provided processes to manage evidence of learning. (Walk-throughs, lesson plans, professional learning history in Eduphoria Workshop)				
-Utilize SCE funded Academic Coaches to provide training to teachers to deliver strategies to increase student				
performance levels				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration				
Instructional Staff				
Professional Learning Communities - Grade Level, Leaders of Learners Team				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1				
Funding Sources: Workshop Attendance - 199 - General Funds - \$2,000, Academic Coach - 199 - General Funds: SCE				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		_1

## **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: There continues to be concern for scholars within specific student groups (Hispanic, African American, SpEd, and At-risk) not closing the achievement gap with their peers outside of these particular groups. **Root Cause**: Lack of targeted instruction based on individual student data including tier 1 instruction and intervention.

### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Green Valley failed to increase STAAR performance in 3rd-grade reading (masters), 3rd grade math (masters), 4th-grade math (approaches, meets, & masters), 4th-grade reading (meets and masters), 5th grade reading (approaches and masters) and 5th-grade math (approaches, meets & masters), and 5th-grade science (approached and meets). **Root Cause**: Lack of targeted instruction based on individual student data, and lack of vertical alignment.

### **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 1**: Need to strengthen continuous improvement processes within Professional Learning Communities, and strengthen process for analyzing student data and respond to student needs. **Root Cause**: Campus administration turnover over the last 4 years has affected building capacity in this area.

Performance Objective 2: Close achievement gaps that exist for all under-performing groups as measured by STAAR, district assessments and screeners.

#### **HB3 Goal**

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Data indicating overall Reading/Language Arts, Math, and Science improvement. MTSS (Multi-tiered System of Supports) data sheets and collboratives

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Deepen implementation of the district continuous improvement process including use of mission statements,			Summative	
goal setting, PDSA (Plan, Do, Study, Act) process, and data folders in the classroom.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Actions: -Build capacity in the area of continuous improvement through campus professional learning and professional learning communities.  -Deliver clear expectations on the implementation of the continuous improvement process throughout the school year based on rubric and campus data  -Monitor and provide feedback regarding the implementation of continuous improvement practices across the campus -Utilize Leaders of Learners to analyze the campus needs and next steps required based on the continuous improvement rubric	1.00	<u> </u>	7744	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Leaders of Learners Team Instructional Staff  Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1				

Reviews				
Formative			Summative	
Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
	Nov			

### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: There continues to be concern for scholars within specific student groups (Hispanic, African American, SpEd, and At-risk) not closing the achievement gap with their peers outside of these particular groups. **Root Cause**: Lack of targeted instruction based on individual student data including tier 1 instruction and intervention.

### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Green Valley failed to increase STAAR performance in 3rd-grade reading (masters), 3rd grade math (masters), 4th-grade math (approaches, meets, & masters), 4th-grade reading (meets and masters), 5th grade reading (approaches and masters) and 5th-grade math (approaches, meets & masters), and 5th-grade science (approached and meets). **Root Cause**: Lack of targeted instruction based on individual student data, and lack of vertical alignment.

### **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 1**: Need to strengthen continuous improvement processes within Professional Learning Communities, and strengthen process for analyzing student data and respond to student needs. **Root Cause**: Campus administration turnover over the last 4 years has affected building capacity in this area.

**Performance Objective 3:** Scholars will display dispositions indicative of high levels of social-emotional development as measured by district administered student survey.

Evaluation Data Sources: survey data, walk-through data, parent and teacher feedback

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Utilize CharacterStrong, guidance lessons, and structures at the campus level to teach social-emotional skills.	Formative			Summative
Actions: -Provide professional learning for all staff regarding Social Emotional Strategies, structures, and skillsUtilize the campus team to assist with implementing skills and strategiesConduct walk-throughs & follow-up discussions to provide feedback to teachers as they implement skills and strategiesCounselor will conduct guidance lessons and small groups throughout the school yearTeachers will utilize the Character Strong program throughout the school yearBuild capacity in Social Emotional Behavior through campus Professional Learning, and conferencesUtilize SCE funded Crisis Counselors to provide support to students in areas of social-emotional behavior.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Counselor Interventionists Academic Coach  Problem Statements: Perceptions 1	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Academic Coach	X Discon	ntinue		

### **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

Perceptions
-------------

**Performance Objective 4:** Reduce the number of scholars assigned to behavioral RTI tiers 2 and 3.

Evaluation Data Sources: MTSS collaborative meeting notes and data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement the district behavioral MTSS plan.	Formative			Summative
Actions: -Follow district protocols and procedures.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
-Utilize Social emotional behavior strategies to support scholars through behavior interventionsUtilize SCE-funded Crisis Counselors to provide support to scholars in areas of social-emotional behavior.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Counselor, instructional staff  Problem Statements: Perceptions 1				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

### **Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:**

### **Perceptions**

Performance Objective 5: At least 90% of the pre-kindergarten scholars will be "On Track" by EOY assessment based on the data from the Circle assessment

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Circle Assessment Data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide quality instruction and support for pre-kindergarten scholars, and involve them and their families in		Summative		
school programs.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Actions: -include pre-k scholars in all campus programstrack scholar growth and create plans for scholars not meeting targets based on CIRCLE dataPre-k teacher will meet with pre-k coordinator and/or coach to review CIRCLE data. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: pre-k team, coordinator, coach, and admin  Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

### **Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:**

### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: There continues to be concern for scholars within specific student groups (Hispanic, African American, SpEd, and At-risk) not closing the achievement gap with their peers outside of these particular groups. **Root Cause**: Lack of targeted instruction based on individual student data including tier 1 instruction and intervention.

Goal 2: Our campus system will utilize efficient and effective operations to support and improve learning for scholars and staff in order to expand their impact across the campus and throughout the community.

**Performance Objective 1:** Increase the annual total average daily attendance (ADA) as compared to the prior school year, through improved scholar retention, recruitment, and days in attendance.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Attendance Reports

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Develop and implement a campus program to increase scholar and staff attendance.		Formative		Summative
Actions: -Review current campus plan for attendance incentivesCommunicate campus attendance plan with all stakeholders -Monitor and make adjustments to the attendance plan throughout the year as needed -Track scholar and staff attendance -Provide incentives that encourage staff and scholar attendance -Increase parent awareness of attendance policies and procedures through communications - newsletters, website, social media, etc  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Attendance Clerk Instructional Staff Counselor  Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources: Scholar and Staff Attendance Awards - 199 - General Funds - \$1,000	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 2: Hire, train, and retain high quality staff members across the campus.		Formative		Summative
Actions: a) Utilize grade-level teams to interview and hire new staff. b) Utilize campus professional learning to build connections and build teams. c) Utilize Gallup Strengths during campus Professional Learning to build collaboration and unity. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin Staff  Problem Statements: Perceptions 1	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

### **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 1**: Need to strengthen continuous improvement processes within Professional Learning Communities, and strengthen process for analyzing student data and respond to student needs. **Root Cause**: Campus administration turnover over the last 4 years has affected building capacity in this area.

### **Perceptions**

**Goal 2:** Our campus system will utilize efficient and effective operations to support and improve learning for scholars and staff in order to expand their impact across the campus and throughout the community.

**Performance Objective 2:** Partner with PTA and community organizations to provide community service and giving opportunities for scholars.

Evaluation Data Sources: Scheduled service projects, clothing/food drives with timeline for completion.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide for opportunities allowing scholars to authentically learn and practice civic responsibility.	Formative			Summative
Actions: -Provide scholars with opportunities to display civic responsibility through service projects and giving	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
opportunities (Could include: Clothing Drive for BISD Clothes Connection, United Way, Food Drive for local area food bank, Toy Drive for NRH Police Department program, Kids Heart Challenge to support The American Heart Association, Collecting and donating gently used books to Cook's Children's, Pennies for and Patients Program).  -Induct new members into National Elementary Honor Society andplan service projects  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor Instructional Staff Campus Administration  Problem Statements: Perceptions 1				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

## Perceptions

Goal 3: All scholars and staff will learn and work in a safe and responsive environment.

**Performance Objective 1:** Increase the percentage of scholars and staff who report feeling safe at school.

Evaluation Data Sources: District safety survey

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Foster a school community where students and staff feel safe and have a sense of belonging.	Formative			Summative
Actions: -Follow all district procedures related to safety and securityUtilize SEL strategies in all classrooms such as safe-keeper ritual and school family structures.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Counselor Instructional Staff				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

### Perceptions

Goal 3: All scholars and staff will learn and work in a safe and responsive environment.

**Performance Objective 2:** Reduce the cost of accidents resulting in workers' compensation claims over the previous year.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** District Report

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement a district-provided program that promotes an accident-free work environment.	Formative S			Summative
Actions: -Conduct facility reviews to locate and address facility issues and needs -Provide training for campus staff	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
-Provide safety equipment as needed				
-Continue to monitor the implementation of safety procedures -All employees will complete Safe Schools Training				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: campus administration and all staff				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

### **Perceptions**

Goal 3: All scholars and staff will learn and work in a safe and responsive environment.

Performance Objective 3: Campus will utilize continuous improvement to strengthen systems and fully comply with district safety procedures.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Navigate 360 reports

Safety Committee Meeting notes

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: 1) Staff will review emergency procedures as noted on BISD Administrative Handbook and shared with staff	Formative			Summative
through training, faculty meetings, and links to specific information (including but not limited to - Standard Response Protocol, Anonymous Alerts App, Threat Assessment, Navigate 360, etc.).	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
2) Staff will participate in regularly scheduled drills to include fire, lock down, shelter in place, and severe weather.				
3) Campus Administration will debrief staff on overall performance during each type of drill and outline areas for improvement.				
4) All staff will participate in Safe School training provided by BISD.  Actions: Record of drills conducted, After Incident Reports detailing areas of concern and/or areas of strength, Follow up communication to staff regarding the drills, Report prepared to send to Student Services/State of Texas Teach scholars the procedures, locations and expectations for and during all types of drills.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration All Staff Members				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

### **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

## **Perceptions**

# **State Compensatory**

## **Budget for Green Valley Elementary**

**Total SCE Funds:** \$0.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 2

**Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs** 

## **Personnel for Green Valley Elementary**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Jennifer Hansen	Dyslexia	1
Melissa Garner	MTSS	1

# **Campus Funding Summary**

			199 - General Funds: SCE		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	Intervention Personnel		\$0.00
1	1	1	Mentoring Minds THINK UP Series for grades 3-5 (PTA Funds \$3000)		\$6,700.00
1	1	3	Academic Coach		\$0.00
				Sub-Total	\$6,700.00
Budgeted Fund Source Amount			\$155,152.00		
+/- Difference				\$148,452.00	
			ESSER		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	2	2	ESSER tutors		\$1,843.00
1	2	2	MTSS Support personnel		\$0.00
				Sub-Total	\$1,843.00
Budgeted Fund Source Amount				geted Fund Source Amount	\$1,843.00
+/- Difference					\$0.00
Grand Total Budgeted					\$156,995.00
Grand Total Spent					\$8,543.00
+/- Difference					\$148,452.00