

Birdville Independent School District
Green Valley Elementary
2024-2025 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

GATORS ARE A FUN COMMUNITY OF ACADEMICALLY DRIVEN SCHOLARS WHO ARE GOAL ORIENTED, HARD WORKING, AND EMPOWERED TO BE LIFE-LONG LEARNERS IN A LOVING ENVIRONMENT

Vision

GATORS MODEL KINDNESS AND COMPASSION WITH A GROWTH MINDSET WHILE WE INSTILL A LOVE OF LEARNING TO COLLABORATE AND ENCOURAGE EVERYONE TO ACHIEVE.

GATORS ARE DIFFERENCE MAKERS!

Value Statement

Growth mindset

Accepting

Teamwork

Open-minded

Respectful

Scholars

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Comprehensive Needs Assessment | 4 |
| Demographics | 4 |
| Student Achievement | 5 |
| District Culture and Climate | 6 |
| Demographics | 7 |
| Student Learning | 9 |
| School Processes & Programs | 14 |
| Perceptions | 16 |
| Priority Problem Statements | 17 |
| Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation | 18 |
| Goals | 20 |
| Goal 1: PK-5 Scholars will achieve their full potential through a system that is responsive to the academic, social, and emotional needs of the scholar. | 20 |
| Goal 2: Our campus system will utilize efficient and effective operations to support and improve learning for scholars and staff in order to expand their impact across the campus and throughout the community. | 27 |
| Goal 3: All scholars and staff will learn and work in a safe and responsive environment. | 30 |
| State Compensatory | 33 |
| Budget for Green Valley Elementary | 33 |
| Personnel for Green Valley Elementary | 33 |
| Campus Funding Summary | 34 |

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Student Achievement

District Culture and Climate

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Green Valley is located in North Richland Hills in Tarrant County and is part of Birdville Independent School District. It is a small neighborhood school that serves the immediate community as well as a large number of open enrollment students from surrounding areas.

Demographics Breakdown:

418 total students

Male: 51.9%

Female: 48.1%

White: 62%

Hispanic/Latino: 19.1%

Black/African American: 7.4%

Asian: 7.9%

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1%

Two or More: 2.6%

Special Programs:

At Risk: 37.32%

Economically Disadvantaged: 24.9%

Free Lunch & Reduced Lunch: 24.9%

Limited English Proficient: 5%

Dyslexic: 7%

504 Students: 5.3%

Gifted and Talented: 12.3%

Special Education: 15.1%

Average Daily Attendance: 96%

Demographics change of note:

In the past five years, the total number of students has decreased, and the percentage of at risk students and special education students has increased.

Demographics Strengths

Our school benefits from a stable student enrollment in the low 400s over the past four years, with a significant portion of experienced teachers (68% with 6 or more years of experience) and a relatively lower rate of economically disadvantaged students.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Green Valley has seen an increase of teachers with less experience (5 or less years) which is now at 32%. **Root Cause:** Teacher retention is an issue, as veteran teachers retire, transfer, or leave the profession.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Historical STAAR Data by subject and Grade Level:

3rd Math:

| Year | Appr | Meet | Mast |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2019 | 95 | 77 | 48 |
| 2021 | 88 | 60 | 37 |
| 2022 | 82 | 62 | 38 |
| 2023 | 91 | 69 | 31 |
| 2024 | 82 | 47 | 19 |

4th Math:

| Year | Appr | Meet | Mast |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2019 | 90 | 68 | 44 |
| 2021 | 93 | 78 | 59 |
| 2022 | 88 | 68 | 43 |
| 2023 | 85 | 62 | 29 |
| 2024 | 83 | 75 | 35 |

5th Math:

| Year | Appr | Meet | Mast |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2019 | 100 | 86 | 71 |
| 2021 | 98 | 88 | 67 |
| 2022 | 99 | 85 | 53 |
| 2023 | 93 | 67 | 36 |
| 2024 | 91 | 71 | 36 |

3rd RLA:

| Year | Appr | Meet | Mast |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2019 | 92 | 72 | 61 |
| 2021 | 94 | 77 | 53 |
| 2022 | 89 | 72 | 51 |
| 2023 | 91 | 73 | 43 |
| 2024 | 89 | 73 | 34 |

4th RLA:

| Year | Appr | Meet | Mast |
|------|------|------|------|
| 2019 | 92 | 75 | 44 |
| 2021 | 96 | 75 | 54 |

| Year | Appr | Meet | Mast |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2022 | 94 | 79 | 56 |
| 2023 | 97 | 76 | 39 |
| 2024 | 95 | 83 | 55 |

5th RLA:

| Year | Appr | Meet | Mast |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2019 | 98 | 73 | 39 |
| 2021 | 95 | 77 | 64 |
| 2022 | 96 | 82 | 69 |
| 2023 | 94 | 82 | 62 |
| 2024 | 91 | 75 | 42 |

5th Science:

| Year | Appr | Meet | Mast |
|------|------|------|------|
| 2019 | 90 | 70 | 35 |
| 2021 | 91 | 69 | 34 |
| 2022 | 97 | 79 | 32 |

| Year | Appr | Meet | Mast |
|------|------|------|------|
| 2023 | 81 | 59 | 37 |
| 2024 | 73 | 47 | 15 |

Historical Data - Pre-K-2 Percentage of Students on Level at End of Year based on BAS assessment.

| Grade | On level at EOY 2021 | On level at EOY 2022 | On level at EOY 2023 | On level at EOY 2024 |
|-------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| PK | - | Rapid letter naming Rapid Vocab | 91%/95% | 90%/90% |
| K | 73% | 75% | 72% | 64% |
| 1 | 58% | 64% | 56% | 56% |
| 2 | 64% | 59% | 63% | 53% |

Green Valley holds high academic standards for all students to reach their fullest potential, with high expectations in every content area and grade level. Based on student performance on STAAR, our students have consistently outperformed or grown more than the State in multiple subjects at all performance levels during the last three years.

Student Learning Strengths

STAAR scores have historically been higher than state, region, and district levels.

Green Valley has demonstrated exceptional academic performance across various subjects and grade levels, consistently surpassing state and regional benchmarks. In Reading/ Language Arts (RLA), students in the 3rd, 4th, and 5th grades have scored at or above state and regional levels, showcasing strong literacy skills. Similarly, our 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students have consistently excelled in Math, surpassing state performance for three consecutive years, reflecting our commitment to maintaining high academic standards in numeracy.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Green Valley 5th grade science performance has decreased significantly over the last two years. **Root Cause:** Lack of vertical alignment and professional learning support for new teachers.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Green Valley Elementary adheres to state and district expectations regarding curriculum and instructional practices. This includes the implementation of the BISD Tier one priorities listed below.

- Engage students with content vocabulary using best practices
- Elicit student thinking through discussions of higher-level questions and open ended tasks and writing activities
- Utilize workshop model to actively engage students in meaningful work such as word work, grammar, writing, "5E model", and daily social studies activities integrated within Reading Language Arts
- Implement responsive teaching by utilizing data from formative assessments to inform instructional decisions that maximize student success
- implement guided reading focused on targeted skills identified through data analysis
- Use UPS Check for problem solving
- Follow TEA guidelines for percentage of daily hands-on instruction for science
- Analyze written and visual primary and secondary resources including maps, graphs, and timelines

Green Valley has extensive curricular programs for all students. Beginning in Pre-Kindergarten, students are assessed in reading and math using universal screening methods that allow teachers and campuses to best meet the needs of all students. In addition, BISD departments including Counseling Services, Advanced Academics, Career and Technical Education (CTE), and Multilingual provide various resources to allow students to individualize their learning experiences. While most students participate in the general curriculum, Green Valley has support systems in place for students behind their peers. A wide range of support systems are provided to students, which may include:

Full Day Pre-K

Dyslexia support

EB support (Bilingual classrooms PK-5th, ESL support PK - 12)

Special Education services

Accelerated Instruction

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Campus has access to comprehensive curriculum documents and resources through Birdville ISD. Teachers have access to a plethora of resources to support student learning.

Campus has strengthened and aligned Multi-tiered systems of supports (MTSS) procedures and protocols to better track student data and respond to student needs.

Campus has added processes and procedures to create and track grade-level goals through Professional Learning Communities as well as content area goals and Professional Learning Communities to increase vertical alignment at the campus level.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Need to strengthen continuous improvement processes within Professional Learning Communities, and strengthen process for analyzing student data and respond to student needs. **Root Cause:** Campus administration turnover over the last 4 years has affected building capacity in this area.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Data based on district safety survey in Spring of 2024:

Student survey shows 79% of students have a trusted adult on campus. That is a drop of 11% from the year prior.

80 percent of students reported feeling safe on campus, and 91% report having a friend they trust.

Parent safety survey revealed 97% of parents feel school is safe and orderly and 90% report their student has a trusted adult at school, 90% report respect for all is emphasized.

Staff survey revealed 96% of staff feel this campus is a safe and orderly, and 89% report respect for all is emphasized.

Perceptions Strengths

Birdville ISD has a well-developed safety plan that teachers and students understand. The district continues to strengthen our plan by having scheduled trainings and drills throughout the year that involve local governmental organizations. Green Valley follows all district safety procedures and protocols throughout the year and has been recognized for our efforts by the student services department multiple times.

The district safety survey shows that our parents and teachers maintain positive perceptions regarding our campus.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Student Safety survey shows only 79% of students feel they can talk to a trusted adult on campus. **Root Cause:** Teachers need to focus on building trusting connections with all scholars.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Green Valley 5th grade science performance has decreased significantly over the last two years.

Root Cause 1: Lack of vertical alignment and professional learning support for new teachers.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Student Safety survey shows only 79% of students feel they can talk to a trusted adult on campus.

Root Cause 2: Teachers need to focus on building trusting connections with all scholars.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 3: Need to strengthen continuous improvement processes within Professional Learning Communities, and strengthen process for analyzing student data and respond to student needs.

Root Cause 3: Campus administration turnover over the last 4 years has affected building capacity in this area.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 4: Green Valley has seen an increase of teachers with less experience (5 or less years) which is now at 32%.

Root Cause 4: Teacher retention is an issue, as veteran teachers retire, transfer, or leave the profession.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Demographics

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Assessments

- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Texas approved PreK - 2nd grade assessment data
- Other PreK - 2nd grade assessment data
- State-developed online interim assessments
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records

- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Study of best practices
- Other additional data



Goals



Goal 1: PK-5 Scholars will achieve their full potential through a system that is responsive to the academic, social, and emotional needs of the scholar.





Performance Objective 1: All students will make at least one year's progress in reading and mathematics by the end of school year. a) Meet the progress monitoring targets in Prekindergarten - 5th grade for each demographic group as measured by district approved screeners (BOY, MOY and EOY) to achieve the HB3 Board Goals. b) Improve performance in Domain 3 Closing the Gaps by making more than a year's growth in reading and mathematics which would in turn increase the number of students performing at the "meets" and "masters" level as measured by the spring 2025 STAAR and EOC assessments. This will also increase Domain 1 Student Achievement which counts the number of students at the various performance levels for state assessments.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CLI Engage - CIRCLE (prekindergarten reading and math), mClass (kindergarten reading and math), mClass (grades 1-2 reading), and Renaissance STAR Assessments (reading, grades 3-5 and mathematics, grades 1-5), State interim and STAAR data for grades 3-5

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|---|---|-----|-----------|
| | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| <p>Strategy 1: Provide quality Tier 1 instruction for every scholar by maximizing district resources and implementing Tier 1 priorities in Pre-k through 5th grade.</p> <p>Actions: -Utilize grade level PLCs in collaboration with Instructional Facilitator and Digital Learning Specialist to implement tier 1 priorities. -Train staff during professional learning sessions after each district LOL (leaders of learners) meeting. -Utilize campus resources including Instructional Facilitator to provide support for campus and classroom implementation plans. -Utilize RLA, math and science vertical teams to increase collaboration system-wide on tier 1 priorities and best practices in the classroom including workshop model and small group instruction. -Provide additional resources such as ThinkUp mentoring minds to support Tier 1 instruction and continued growth for all students including high-achieving students. -Utilize prekindergarten teachers to provide a foundation to our youngest students by meeting academic, social, and emotional needs.</p> <p>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Instructional Staff Professional Learning Communities - Horizontal by Grade Level & K-5 Vertical Teams</p> <p>Problem Statements: Student Learning 1</p> <p>Funding Sources: SCE Campus Personnel - 199 - General Funds: SCE - \$152,208</p> |  |  | | |

| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 2: Provide and monitor a comprehensive professional learning plan to address the needs of staff members. Actions: -Identify needs based on goals of each individual teacher - TTESS -Implement framework that facilitates relevant professional learning for staff. -Allocate resources to support professional learning plan. (including content based professional learning and Professional Conferences addressing Social and Emotional Needs of Scholars) -Utilize district-provided processes to manage evidence of learning. (Walk-throughs, lesson plans, professional learning history in Eduphoria Workshop) -Utilize Instructional Facilitator to provide training to teachers to deliver strategies to increase student performance levels Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Instructional Staff Professional Learning Communities - Grade Level, Leaders of Learners Team Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| |  |  | | |

 No Progress
  Accomplished
  Continue/Modify
  Discontinue

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:



| Demographics |
|--|
| Problem Statement 1: Green Valley has seen an increase of teachers with less experience (5 or less years) which is now at 32%. Root Cause: Teacher retention is an issue, as veteran teachers retire, transfer, or leave the profession. |
| Student Learning |
| Problem Statement 1: Green Valley 5th grade science performance has decreased significantly over the last two years. Root Cause: Lack of vertical alignment and professional learning support for new teachers. |



Goal 1: PK-5 Scholars will achieve their full potential through a system that is responsive to the academic, social, and emotional needs of the scholar.





Performance Objective 2: Close achievement gaps that exist for all under-performing student groups as measured by state and district assessments. Meet all progress monitoring targets for grades prekindergarten - 5th grade in reading and mathematics for identified student groups as measured by a district-approved monitoring instrument.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Data indicating overall Reading/Language Arts, Math, and Science improvement. MTSS (Multi-tiered System of Supports) data sheets and collaboratives

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|---|---|-----|-----------|
| | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| <p>Strategy 1: Deepen implementation of the district continuous improvement process including use of mission statements, goal setting, PDSA (Plan, Do, Study, Act) process, and data folders in the classroom.</p> <p>Actions: -Build capacity in the area of continuous improvement through campus professional learning and professional learning communities. -Deliver clear expectations on the implementation of the continuous improvement process throughout the school year based on rubric and campus data. -Monitor and provide feedback regarding the implementation of continuous improvement practices across the campus including student data folders. -Utilize Leaders of Learners to analyze the campus needs and next steps required based on the continuous improvement rubric. -Utilize Continuous improvement processes to strengthen instruction and assist teachers in supporting learning for all scholars.</p> <p>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Leaders of Learners Team Instructional Staff</p> <p>Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1</p> |  |  | | |

| Strategy 2 Details | | Reviews | | | |
|--|--|---|---|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 2: Implement PDSA process and data analysis through grade level and vertical PLCs to ensure growth and performance of all students. Actions: -Review expectations for teachers regarding PDSA process and best practices during campus professional learning and grade level meetings. - Utilize PLC time to review STAAR data, interim data, student grades, reading levels, and other district screener data, then create plans based on said data. -Schedule "Super PLCs" to give staff time to complete these tasks and collaborate. - Utilize MTSS collaboratives to review assessment data and create tiered plans for students based on individual needs. -Provide responsive instruction based on scholar data to provide for individual student needs. - Meet regularly in grade level and vertical PLCs to continue the PDSA cycle and data analysis. -Utilize Instructional Facilitator to provide training to teachers to deliver strategies to increase student performance levels. -Implement MTSS (Multi-Tiered System of Supports) processes to ensure alignment with district protocol and make adjustments as needed. -Utilize district resources with tier 1, 2, and 3 scholars during small group instruction. -Build capacity in instructional areas through regular professional learning and professional learning communities to ensure student learning needs are met. -Provide enrichment for tier 1 groups based on individual data. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Facilitator Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1 | | Formative | | | Summative |
| | | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| | |  |  | | |

 No Progress
  Accomplished
  Continue/Modify
  Discontinue







Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

| Student Learning |
|--|
| Problem Statement 1: Green Valley 5th grade science performance has decreased significantly over the last two years. Root Cause: Lack of vertical alignment and professional learning support for new teachers. |
| School Processes & Programs |
| Problem Statement 1: Need to strengthen continuous improvement processes within Professional Learning Communities, and strengthen process for analyzing student data and respond to student needs. Root Cause: Campus administration turnover over the last 4 years has affected building capacity in this area. |

Goal 1: PK-5 Scholars will achieve their full potential through a system that is responsive to the academic, social, and emotional needs of the scholar.

Performance Objective 3: Scholars will display dispositions indicative of high levels of social-emotional development as measured by district administered student survey.

Evaluation Data Sources: survey data, walk-through data, parent and teacher feedback

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|---|---|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Utilize CharacterStrong, guidance lessons, and structures at the campus level to teach social-emotional skills. Actions: -Provide professional learning for all staff regarding Social-Emotional strategies, structures, and skills. -Utilize the campus team to assist with implementing skills and strategies. -Provide support to campus team by providing virtual consultations through Conscious Discipline throughout the school year. -Conduct walk-throughs & follow-up discussions to provide feedback to teachers as they implement skills and strategies. -Counselor will conduct guidance lessons and small groups throughout the school year. -Teachers will utilize the Character Strong program throughout the school year. -Build capacity in Social-Emotional Behavior through campus professional learning, and sending staff to conferences. -Utilize Crisis Counselor to provide support to students in areas of social-emotional behavior. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Counselor Interventionists Academic Coach Problem Statements: Perceptions 1 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| |  |  | | |
| <div><div> No Progress</div><div> Accomplished</div><div> Continue/Modify</div><div> Discontinue</div></div> | | | | |







Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

| Perceptions |
|---|
| Problem Statement 1: Student Safety survey shows only 79% of students feel they can talk to a trusted adult on campus. Root Cause: Teachers need to focus on building trusting connections with all scholars. |

Goal 1: PK-5 Scholars will achieve their full potential through a system that is responsive to the academic, social, and emotional needs of the scholar.

Performance Objective 4: Reduce the number of scholars assigned to behavioral RTI tiers 2 and 3.

Evaluation Data Sources: MTSS collaborative meeting notes and data

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|---|---|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Implement the district behavioral MTSS plan. Actions: -Follow district protocols and procedures. -Utilize Social emotional behavior strategies to support scholars through behavior interventions. -Teach all scholars executive skills and strategies. -Utilize Crisis Counselor to provide support to scholars in areas of social-emotional behavior. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Counselor, instructional staff Problem Statements: Perceptions 1 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| |  |  | | |
| <div><div> No Progress</div><div> Accomplished</div><div> Continue/Modify</div><div> Discontinue</div></div> | | | | |







Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

| Perceptions |
|---|
| Problem Statement 1: Student Safety survey shows only 79% of students feel they can talk to a trusted adult on campus. Root Cause: Teachers need to focus on building trusting connections with all scholars. |

Goal 1: PK-5 Scholars will achieve their full potential through a system that is responsive to the academic, social, and emotional needs of the scholar.

Performance Objective 5: At least 90% of the pre-kindergarten scholars will be "On Track" by EOY assessment based on the data from the Circle assessment

Evaluation Data Sources: Circle Assessment Data

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Provide quality instruction and support for pre-kindergarten scholars, and involve them and their families in school programs. Actions: -include pre-k scholars in all campus programs. -track scholar growth and create plans for scholars not meeting targets based on CIRCLE data. -Pre-k teacher will meet with pre-k coordinator and/or coach to review CIRCLE data. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: pre-k team, coordinator, coach, and admin Problem Statements: Demographics 1 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| |  |  | | |
| <div><div> No Progress</div><div> Accomplished</div><div> Continue/Modify</div><div> Discontinue</div></div> | | | | |









Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

| Demographics |
|--|
| Problem Statement 1: Green Valley has seen an increase of teachers with less experience (5 or less years) which is now at 32%. Root Cause: Teacher retention is an issue, as veteran teachers retire, transfer, or leave the profession. |

Goal 2: Our campus system will utilize efficient and effective operations to support and improve learning for scholars and staff in order to expand their impact across the campus and throughout the community.

Performance Objective 1: Increase the annual total average daily attendance (ADA) as compared to the prior school year, through improved scholar retention, recruitment, and days in attendance.

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance Reports

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Develop and implement a campus program to increase scholar and staff attendance. Actions: -Review current campus plan for attendance incentives. -Communicate campus attendance plan with all stakeholders. -Monitor and make adjustments to the attendance plan throughout the year as needed. -Track scholar attendance. -Provide incentives that encourage scholar attendance. -Increase parent awareness of attendance policies and procedures through communications - newsletters, website, social media, etc... Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Attendance Clerk Instructional Staff Counselor Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Perceptions 1 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| |  |  | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 2: Hire, train, and retain high quality staff members across the campus. Actions: a) Utilize grade-level teams to interview and hire new staff. b) Utilize campus professional learning to build connections and build teams. c) Utilize Gallup Strengths during campus Professional Learning to build collaboration and unity. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin Staff Problem Statements: Demographics 1 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| |  |  | | |
| <div>  No Progress  Accomplished  Continue/Modify  Discontinue </div> | | | | |







Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

| |
|--|
| Demographics |
| Problem Statement 1: Green Valley has seen an increase of teachers with less experience (5 or less years) which is now at 32%. Root Cause: Teacher retention is an issue, as veteran teachers retire, transfer, or leave the profession. |
| Perceptions |
| Problem Statement 1: Student Safety survey shows only 79% of students feel they can talk to a trusted adult on campus. Root Cause: Teachers need to focus on building trusting connections with all scholars. |

Goal 2: Our campus system will utilize efficient and effective operations to support and improve learning for scholars and staff in order to expand their impact across the campus and throughout the community.

Performance Objective 2: Partner with PTA and community organizations to provide community service and giving opportunities for scholars.

Evaluation Data Sources: Scheduled service projects, clothing/food drives with timeline for completion.

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Provide opportunities allowing scholars to authentically learn and practice civic responsibility. Actions: Provide all staff with staff shirts to be worn at school wide activities and events including Meet the Teacher, Open House, and every Friday for our campus spirit days. Provide scholars with opportunities to display civic responsibility through service projects and giving opportunities such as clothing drives for BISD Clothes Connection, food drive, toy drive for NRH Police Department program, Kids Heart Challenge to support The American Heart Association, and others. -Induct new members into National Elementary Honor Society and plan service projects Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor Instructional Staff Campus Administration Problem Statements: Perceptions 1 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| |  |  | | |
| <div><div> No Progress</div><div> Accomplished</div><div> Continue/Modify</div><div> Discontinue</div></div> | | | | |







Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

| Perceptions |
|---|
| Problem Statement 1: Student Safety survey shows only 79% of students feel they can talk to a trusted adult on campus. Root Cause: Teachers need to focus on building trusting connections with all scholars. |

Goal 3: All scholars and staff will learn and work in a safe and responsive environment.

Performance Objective 1: Increase the percentage of scholars and staff who report feeling safe at school.

Evaluation Data Sources: District safety survey

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|---|---|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Foster a school community where students and staff feel safe and have a sense of belonging. Actions: -Follow all district procedures related to safety and security. -Utilize routines and strategies in all classrooms such as safe-keeper ritual and school family structures. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Counselor Instructional Staff Problem Statements: Perceptions 1 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| |  |  | | |
| <div><div> No Progress</div><div> Accomplished</div><div> Continue/Modify</div><div> Discontinue</div></div> | | | | |







Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

| Perceptions |
|---|
| Problem Statement 1: Student Safety survey shows only 79% of students feel they can talk to a trusted adult on campus. Root Cause: Teachers need to focus on building trusting connections with all scholars. |

Goal 3: All scholars and staff will learn and work in a safe and responsive environment.

Performance Objective 2: Reduce the cost of accidents resulting in workers' compensation claims over the previous year.

Evaluation Data Sources: District Report

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|--|---|---|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Implement a district-provided program that promotes an accident-free work environment. Actions: -Conduct facility reviews to locate and address facility issues and needs -Provide training for campus staff -Provide safety equipment as needed -Continue to monitor the implementation of safety procedures -All employees will complete Safe Schools Training Staff Responsible for Monitoring: campus administration and all staff Problem Statements: Demographics 1 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| |  |  | | |
| <div><div> No Progress</div><div> Accomplished</div><div> Continue/Modify</div><div> Discontinue</div></div> | | | | |







Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

| Demographics |
|--|
| Problem Statement 1: Green Valley has seen an increase of teachers with less experience (5 or less years) which is now at 32%. Root Cause: Teacher retention is an issue, as veteran teachers retire, transfer, or leave the profession. |

Goal 3: All scholars and staff will learn and work in a safe and responsive environment.

Performance Objective 3: Campus will utilize continuous improvement to strengthen systems and fully comply with district safety procedures.

Evaluation Data Sources: Navigate 360 reports
Safety Committee Meeting notes

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | |
|---|--|--|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: 1) Staff will review emergency procedures as noted on BISD Administrative Handbook and shared with staff through training, faculty meetings, and links to specific information (including but not limited to - Standard Response Protocol, Anonymous Alerts App, Threat Assessment, Navigate 360, etc.). 2) Staff will participate in regularly scheduled drills to include fire, lock down, shelter in place, and severe weather. 3) Campus Administration will debrief staff on overall performance during each type of drill and outline areas for improvement. 4) All staff will participate in Safe School training provided by BISD. Actions: Record of drills conducted, After Incident Reports detailing areas of concern and/or areas of strength, Follow up communication to staff regarding the drills, Report prepared to send to Student Services/State of Texas Teach scholars the procedures, locations and expectations for and during all types of drills. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration All Staff Members Problem Statements: Demographics 1 | Formative | | | Summative |
| | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| |  25% |  50% | | |
| <div>  No Progress  Accomplished  Continue/Modify  Discontinue </div> | | | | |

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

| Demographics |
|--|
| Problem Statement 1: Green Valley has seen an increase of teachers with less experience (5 or less years) which is now at 32%. Root Cause: Teacher retention is an issue, as veteran teachers retire, transfer, or leave the profession. |

State Compensatory

Budget for Green Valley Elementary

Total SCE Funds: \$152,208.00

Total FTEs Funded by SCE: 2.48

Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

Funds are used to pay for additional personnel to serve students who are at risk of dropping out of school or who have not performed satisfactorily on an end-of-course assessment.

Personnel for Green Valley Elementary

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Position</u> | <u>FTE</u> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Brianna Chalita | Educational Assitant | 0.33 |
| Jennifer Hansen | Reading Intervention | 0.33 |
| Lauren Patak | Educational Assistant | 0.33 |
| McKenzie Kennison | Teacher | 0.33 |
| Melissa Garner | Instructional Facilitator | 0.5 |
| Samuel Johnson | Educational Assistant | 0.33 |
| Valeri Aguirre Garcia | Teacher | 0.33 |

Campus Funding Summary

| 199 - General Funds: SCE | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | SCE Campus Personnel | | \$152,208.00 |
| Sub-Total | | | | | \$152,208.00 |
| Budgeted Fund Source Amount | | | | | \$152,208.00 |
| +/- Difference | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Grand Total Budgeted | | | | | \$152,208.00 |
| Grand Total Spent | | | | | \$152,208.00 |
| +/- Difference | | | | | \$0.00 |